
Article Excerpt | *“Termination of Federal Supervision: The Removal of Restrictions over Indian Property and Person”*

Virtually since the first decade of our national life the Indian, as tribesman and individual, was accorded a status apart. Now, however, we think constructively and affirmatively of the Indian as a fellow American. We seek to assure that in health, education, and welfare, in social, political, economic, and cultural opportunity, he or she stands as one with us in the enjoyment and responsibilities of our national citizenship. It is particularly gratifying to know that recent years of united effort, mutual planning, and Indian self-appraisal truly have begun to bear increasing fruit. One facet of this over-all development concerns the freeing of the Indians from special federal restrictions on the property and the person of the tribes and their members. This is not a novel development, but a natural outgrowth of our relationship with the Indians. Congress is fully agreed upon its accomplishment. By unanimous vote in both the Senate and the House of Representatives termination of such special federal supervision has been called for as soon as possible. Of course, as with any such major social concern, methods vary in proposed solutions and emotions sometimes rise as to how the final goal should best be reached. A clear understanding of principles and events is necessary. Here the author seeks more to be factual and informative rather than argumentative and dogmatic.

[text omitted]

... the matter of freeing the Indian from wardship status is not rightfully a subject to debate in academic fashion, with facts marshalled here and there to be maneuvered and countermaneuvered in a vast battle of words and ideas. Much more I see this as an ideal or universal truth, to which all men subscribe, and concerning which they differ only in their opinion as to how the ideal may be attained and in what degree and during what period of time. A little more than two years ago—June 17, 1954—President Dwight D. Eisenhower signed a bill approved by the Eighty-third Congress that signified a landmark in Indian legislative history. By this measure’s terms an Indian tribe and its members, the Menominee of Wisconsin, were assured that after a brief transition period they would at last have full control of their own affairs and would possess all of the attributes of complete American citizenship. This was a most worthy moment in our history. We should all dwell upon its deep meaning. Considering the lengthy span of our Indian relationship, the recency of this event is significant. Obviously, such affirmative action for the great majority of Indians has just begun. Moreover, it should be noted that the foundations laid are solid. Philosophically speaking, the Indian wardship problem brings up basically the questionable merit of treating the Indian of today as an Indian, rather than as a fellow American citizen. Now, doing away with restrictive federal supervision over Indians, as such, does not affect the retention of those cultural and racial qualities which people of Indian descent would wish to retain; many of us are proud of our ancestral heritage, but that

Article Excerpt | **“Termination of Federal Supervision: The Removal of Restrictions over Indian Property and Person”**

(Continued)

does not nor should it alter our status as American citizens. The distinction between abolishment of wardship and abandonment of the Indian heritage is vitally important. I wish to emphasize this point, because a few well-intentioned private organizations repeatedly seek to influence Congress to keep the Indian in a restricted status by urging legislation to retain him as an Indian ward and as a member of a caste with social status apart from others, not basically as what he is—a fellow American citizen. These organizations have presented some proposals to Congress impossible of accomplishment, but likely to produce argumentation and thus to protract debate beyond reasonable limits. In this manner they apparently seek to justify a continued role as presumable spokesmen for Indian tribes. Likewise, it should be noted that in legislative considerations various other private organizations and serious minded periodicals have been used as devices propagandizing viewpoints based upon assertions known to Congress to be contrary to the facts upon Indian conditions. Special interests are of course involved in other ways; thus commercial companies having specific reservation leases may be reluctant to see terminal programs proceed, feeling that their own economic interests may be jeopardized. And again, state co-operation in the assumption of responsibilities for their Indian citizens has not always been consistent.

[text omitted]

Freedom of action for the Indian as a full-fledged citizen—that is the continuing aim. Toward this end Congress and the Administration, state and local governments, Indian tribes and members, interested private agencies, and individual Americans as responsible citizens should all be united and work constantly. The legislatively set target dates for Indian freedom serve as significant spurs to accomplishment. Congress steadily continues to inform itself, to seek out, delimit, and assist those Indians most able to profit immediately by freedom from special supervision, and it acts primarily to speed the day for all Indian tribes and members to be relieved of their wardship status.

[text omitted]

As a result Congress enacted legislation providing for release of federal supervision over the property and individual members of the Alabama and Coshatta Tribes of Texas, the Klamath of Oregon (Klamath and Modoc Tribes and the Yakooskin Band of Snakes), the Tribes of Western Oregon (Grand Ronde, Siletz), the Menominee Tribe of Wisconsin, and certain tribes in Utah (Shivwits, Kanosh, Koosharem and Indian Peaks Bands of Paiutes; the mixed-blood Uintah and Ouray [Utes]) . . . Approximately ten thousand Indians were thus set on the road to complete citizenship rights and responsibilities.

Article Excerpt | “Termination of Federal Supervision: The Removal of Restrictions over Indian Property and Person”

(Continued)

[text omitted]

Through our national historic development the Indian was forced into a dependent position with the federal government more and more, as America advanced westward, tending to sublimate his natural qualities of self-reliance, courage, discipline, resourcefulness, confidence, and faith in the future. Congress has realized this, and has steadily acted more positively to restore to the Indian these qualities. But self-reliance demands opportunity to grow. The Indian must be given the conditions under which—and only under which— self-reliance can be wholeheartedly regenerated. Experience developed in carrying out the legislation adopted by the Eighty-third Congress for freedom from special federal control over Indians will be a valuable guide in continuing to develop further freedom-program bills. Generally, this experience has shown that other factors being equal, the smaller and rather well assimilated tribes or other groups, having relatively minor and cohesive property or other value interests easily adjustable to individual shares, appear the more likely subjects for prompt release from federal controls. And conversely, rather unassimilated and/or large groups with quite major or intricate property or other value interests require more time, patience, and mutual understanding in moving toward eventual freedom from their federal wardship status . . . In either case, the Area Director should advise the Commissioner with a view to arranging for special guidance and assistance. The basic principle enunciated so clearly and approved unanimously by the Senate and House in House Concurrent Resolution 108 of the Eighty-third Congress continues to be the overall guiding policy of Congress in Indian affairs. In view of the historic policy of Congress favoring freedom for the Indians, we may well expect future Congresses to continue to indorse the principle that “as rapidly as possible” we should end the status of Indians as wards of the government and grant them all of the rights and prerogatives pertaining to American citizenship. With the aim of “equality before the law” in mind our course should rightly be no other. Firm and constant consideration for those of Indian ancestry should lead us all to work diligently and carefully for the full realization of their national citizenship with all other Americans. Following in the footsteps of the Emancipation Proclamation of ninety-four years ago, I see the following words emblazoned in letters of fire above the heads of the Indians—THESE PEOPLE SHALL BE FREE!

Citation

Arthur V. Watkins (1957), “Termination of Federal Supervision: The Removal of Restrictions over Indian Property and Person,” *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 311, 47-55. Used with permission. Full article available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1032353>.